Lecture 3: Digestion and Dieting Physiology

Critical Analysis of Popular Diets and Supplements
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Digestion

The food path in animals:

- Hungry animal efficiently searches out food
- Food is compared to past taste, smell
- If ok, eaten, swallowed, stimulates stretch, chemoreceptors, which signal CNS, regulate meal
- Bolus passes to small bowel, inhibits gastric emptying rate; food is digested by enzymes in SB
- Glucose is now absorbed: ghrelin, CCK, other hormones released that end meal.
- Insulin released: transports glucose into tissues; glucagon opposes
- Rest of nutrients are stored; control long-term intake
Digestion

- The food path in humans:
  Same physiology, different outcome...

Why?

- Hungry human is now vastly more efficient than animals at searching out food
- Food supply is very high in energy density
- Humans can downplay/ignore physiologic signals: “What’s hunger got to do with it?”
Dieting Basics

1. Evaluate energy needs (separate lecture)
2. Set degree of energy deficit/ rate of weight loss desired
3. Calculate energy intake needed
4. Decide on macronutrient composition
5. Decide on meal/snack frequency
6. Decide on variety
7. Design weekly menus
8. Monitor and adjust as needed during weight loss
1. Evaluate Energy Needs

- Your choices:
  1. Prediction equations based on weight, gender, age; simplest is 16 kcal/lb
  2. Indirect calorimetry
     - Adjust both for activity level
2. Set Degree of Energy Deficit/ Rate of Weight Loss Desired

- Your choices:
  - Low calorie diet- > 800 kcal/day
  - Very low calorie diet- < 800 kcal/d
  - Weight maintenance diet- based on current EE
  - Rate of weight loss depends on EE:
    - $\frac{7(EE-EI \text{ on diet})}{3500} = \text{predicted weekly loss, lbs}$
    - Each 500 kcal/d deficit = 1 lb/wk loss
    - $3500 \times \text{lbs per week loss desired/ 7 d} = \text{daily diet deficit needed}$
3. Calculate Energy Intake Needed

- EE – daily diet deficit in kcal = daily kcal allowed on diet
- Example: I weigh 160 lbs, wish to lose 1 lb/wk:
  - EE = 160 x 16 = 2360 kcal/d
  - Deficit needed = 3500/7 = 500 kcal/d
  - 2360 – 500 = 1860 kcal/d is the prescribed diet
4. Decide on Macronutrient Composition

- Your choices:
  - Balanced deficit
  - Fat restricted
  - Carbohydrate restricted (ketogenic?)
  - High or normal protein
  - Others
5. Decide on Meal/Snack Frequency

- Generally, large, infrequent meals cause greater weight gain than small, frequent snacks.
- If total daily EI is held constant, this effect disappears.
- Thus, total daily EI is *not* being held constant when meal frequency changes.
- Small, frequent meals generally satisfy dieters better.
- Epidemiologic data: small, freq meals $\rightarrow$ low BMI.
6. Decide on Variety

- Sensory variety promotes overconsumption
- Limited menu limits consumption
- This is how is may be possible to lose weight on certain monotonous fad diets (cabbage soup, Hagen-Dags)
7. Design Weekly Menus

- Dietitians are best at this
- Books
- Pamphlets from ADA, etc
- Take into account dieters food preferences
8. Monitor and Adjust as Needed During Weight Loss

- Weekly weights at a minimum
- Daily weights at a maximum
- Recognize the phases of weight loss
  - Initial diuresis
  - Predictable weight loss
  - Slowing of weight loss (deficit narrows)
  - Honeymoon ends - diet must be changed
  - Maintenance
Dieting Techniques and Tools: Fat Mimetics

- **Olestra**: fatty acid side chains linked to a sucrose (cf glycerol) molecule, and 7-9 instead of 3 fatty acid side chains
- Olestra is indigestible by lipases and by bacterial fermentation
- Potentially useful tool to separate the sensory from the energy effects of foods
- Just as palatable as regular fat to most people
The utility of a fat mimetic hinges on the degree of compensation for fat and calories it elicits.

Compensation formula:

\[ \%C = 1 - \frac{(EI \text{ unsubstituted} - EI \text{ substituted})}{(m \text{ kcal} - u \text{ kcal})} \times 100 \]

Where:

- \( m \) = calories of substituted food consumed
- \( u \) = calories consumed at baseline of unsubstituted food
- \( EI \) = total energy intake in kcal consumed \textit{ad libitum} during each measurement period (includes the calories of the substituted or unsubstituted food).
Studies of Degree of Compensation-I

- Olestra:
  - Substituting 55g of dietary fat with olestra (potential energy savings=500 kcal) is only about 1/3 compensated the next day, especially when substitution is covert (Miller et al, 1998)
  - Olestra is minimally compensated in terms of fat grams consumed in studies of up to 14 days (Hill 1998)
Studies of Degree of Compensation-II

- **Aspartame** (Nutrisweet):
  - Energy compensation is nearly complete in the majority of studies

- Differences in %C by age, gender, BMI:
  - Young males exhibit more complete compensation than females and older adults (Rolls 1998)
  - Obese individuals generally have been found to compensate more poorly than lean (Rolls 1994, Roe 1999)