

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/). Your use of this material constitutes acceptance of that license and the conditions of use of materials on this site.



Copyright 2006, The Johns Hopkins University and Donna Strobino. All rights reserved. Use of these materials permitted only in accordance with license rights granted. Materials provided "AS IS"; no representations or warranties provided. User assumes all responsibility for use, and all liability related thereto, and must independently review all materials for accuracy and efficacy. May contain materials owned by others. User is responsible for obtaining permissions for use from third parties as needed.

Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Donna Strobino, PhD

Bloomberg School of Public Health

Section A

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

- ◆ General broad measures
 - LBW: Less than 2,500 grams
 - Preterm birth: Less than 37 weeks of gestation
 - Low Apgar score
- ◆ Reason: Readily available from routine records/birth certificates
- ◆ Advantage: Covers large populations

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

- ◆ Disadvantages
 - Do not capture the full range (or degree of severity) of complications in the neonate
 - Fail to characterize morbidity after the first few moments of life
 - Exclude a large number of infants with problems in the neonatal period

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Birth Weight

- ◆ Excellent agreement of birth weight, as reported on birth certificates, when compared with hospital records
- ◆ Maternal reports of infant's birth weight are also highly accurate
 - Washington HMO: 91% agreement, only 1% disagree by over 8 oz.

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Birth Weight

- ◆ Maternal reports of infant's birth weight are also highly accurate
 - Two Jerusalem Hospitals: 75% accurate within 100 gm, only 8% more than 300 gm.
- ◆ Recall of one's own birth weight by adult women is more problematic

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Low Birth Weight

- ◆ Selected by Yippo because it was the point at which the maximum deceleration in the death rate occurred in relation to birth weight
- ◆ Data from Wilcox and Russell show the relevance of this criterion today (includes vast majority of births in their residual distribution)

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Developed by anesthesiologist Virginia Apgar to increase the awareness of obstetricians and other birth attendants of the status of the newborn
- ◆ Measured at one and five minutes (ten minutes for newborns slow to adjust to their new environment)

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Scores five aspects of newborn's condition on a scale of 0–2
 - Heart rate (pulse)
 - Respiratory effort (respiration)
 - Muscle tone (activity)
 - Reflex irritability (grimace)
 - Color (appearance)

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Values for each item are summed to achieve the total score
- ◆ General appraisal of scores
 - 7–10—Satisfactory
 - 4–6—Infant moderately depressed
 - 0–3—Infant requires emergency procedures

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Excellent indicator of an infant's need for resuscitation and predictor of mortality for LBW infants
- ◆ Shown also among term births in Norway

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Controversies about Apgar scores
 - Apgar scores alone are not evidence of sufficient hypoxia that results in neurologic damage

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Controversies about Apgar scores
 - They are dubious as a measure of asphyxia
 - Scores are difficult to evaluate for pre-term infants

Conventional Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Apgar Score

- ◆ Controversies about Apgar scores
 - Of questionable predictive value for sequelae related to neurological or developmental problems (although a recent Norwegian study shows a strong correlation of low Apgar scores with CP among term births)

Infant's Length of Stay in the Nursery

Advantages:

- ◆ Associated with delayed congenital anomalies, developmental delays and hospitalization in the first year
- ◆ Can be easily measured from abstraction of medical records or from hospital discharge data

Infant's Length of Stay in the Nursery

Disadvantages:

- ◆ Measured after the fact, so not appropriate for clinical use (except to identify high risk infants for follow-up)
- ◆ Some variation in length of stay due to institutional differences in health care practices (primarily related to mean values, not extremes)

Section B

Alternative Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

Specific Clinical Entities

Respiratory Disease Distress Syndrome

- ◆ Classification systems available which utilize signs and symptoms and laboratory criteria
 - For example, severity of RDS measures use ventilator therapy, blood gas values, oxygen therapy, and radiological classification

Neurological Examination of the Newborn (Allen and Capute)

- ◆ Assessment of the following:
 - Posture, extremity and axial tone
 - Deep tendon reflexes, pathologic reflexes, primitive reflexes
 - Symmetry, oromotor function, cranial nerve function, auditory and visual responses
 - Behavior (jitteriness, irritability, lethargy, consolability)

Neurological Examination of the Newborn (Allen and Capute)

- ◆ High correlation with neurological/developmental outcome at one year
- ◆ Useful even in extremely pre-term infants with chronic lung disease and infants with brain hemorrhage
- ◆ Relationship to other morbidity is unclear
- ◆ Summary score is not computed

Neonatal Risk Score of Hobel

- ◆ 35 factors arbitrarily selected and scored by Hobel, et al.
- ◆ Based on the results of perinatal studies and personal clinical experience
 - Factors generally include a morbid condition or signs and symptoms of morbidity

Neonatal Risk Score of Hobel

- ◆ Each factor is assigned a weight of one, five, or ten depending on its presumed value in predicting neonatal mortality
- ◆ The overall score represents the weighted sum of the values of each factor present in the newborn
- ◆ The weights appear unnecessary; a sum of the risk factors yield the same results as the score using the weights

Neonatal Risk Score of Hobel

- ◆ Self-weighting concept is supported in the score
- ◆ The most severe conditions occur in concert with several other conditions
- ◆ The score is highly correlated with length of nursery stay
- ◆ The score with factors occurring after birth give higher correlation with length of nursery stay than score of events measured at birth

Neonatal Risk Score of Hobel

- ◆ The score measures morbidity in the normal weight infant
- ◆ The relation of the score with subsequent morbidity is not established
- ◆ Seldom used, despite evaluation of the measure

Morbidity Assessment Index for Newborns (MAIN)

- ◆ Developed as a global measure of morbidity consisting of clinically relevant items that can reliably discriminate between two outcomes across a spectrum of severity of illness
- ◆ Focus is to detect clinically important effects of obstetric intervention

Morbidity Assessment Index for Newborns (MAIN)

- ◆ Items included are clinical and laboratory data that are easily collected from the usual clinical records
- ◆ The final inventory includes 47 clinically relevant pathophysiologic items describing morbidity at birth, based on 24 attributes

Morbidity Assessment Index for Newborns (MAIN)

- ◆ Assessment of the index included
 - Evaluation of the “face” or “content” validity of items
 - Construct validation: Item analysis, internal consistency (dimensionality), degree items fit into the underlying construct, item contributed additional information above and beyond other items

Neonatal Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (NTISS)

- ◆ Assesses severity of illness indirectly through the therapy given by the physician rather than through the direct measurement of physiologic status

Neonatal Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (NTISS)

- ◆ Includes 70 therapies unique to neonatal intensive care, assigned a weight of one to four points by an expert panel, based on therapeutic intensity and complexity
- ◆ Score can be easily abstracted from medical records
- ◆ Provides information beyond traditional measures such as birth weight

Neonatal Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (NTISS)

- ◆ Directly and strongly related to in-hospital mortality, length of hospital stay, clinicians' estimates of mortality risk, and total hospital charges
- ◆ But the measure is independent of birth weight and gestational age
- ◆ Appears to be problematic for infants who die within the first few hours of life

Score of Neonatal Acute Physiology Perinatal Extension (SNAP-PE)

- ◆ An organ system, physiologic-based severity of illness index developed specifically for evaluating neonatal intensive care
- ◆ Based on objective physiologic measurements obtained from routine clinical tests and vital signs

Score of Neonatal Acute Physiology Perinatal Extension (SNAP-PE)

- ◆ Contains 34 items that are scored from zero to five representing the worst physiologic derangement in the first 24 hours
- ◆ A major predictor of mortality, which is independent of birth weight

Section C

Measures of Infant Health Status

Measures of Infant Health Status

- ◆ Very limited area of development
- ◆ Hospitalization of the infant: 10-15% in most samples
- ◆ Global measures of health status
- ◆ Four and nine month *Pediatric Complications Scales* of Littman and Parmalee
 - A mix of growth, illnesses, anomalies, deficits, and behavioral problems

Measures of Infant Health Status

- ◆ Four and nine month *Pediatric Complications Scales* of Littman and Parmalee
 - Show only small correlations with measure of infant or early childhood development status

Conclusions: Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

- ◆ Global measures
 - Readily available
 - Miss considerable morbidity
- ◆ More specific measures
 - Specific disease focus
 - Summary scores
 - Used to evaluate OB practice
 - Used to evaluate NICU

Conclusions: Measures of Neonatal Morbidity

- ◆ More specific measures
 - Require access to and use of clinical records
 - Considerable time to obtain data
 - Not widely used except for specific diseases or limited focus of studies
- ◆ No good measures of infant morbidity