

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/). Your use of this material constitutes acceptance of that license and the conditions of use of materials on this site.



Copyright 2009, The Johns Hopkins University and John McGready. All rights reserved. Use of these materials permitted only in accordance with license rights granted. Materials provided "AS IS"; no representations or warranties provided. User assumes all responsibility for use, and all liability related thereto, and must independently review all materials for accuracy and efficacy. May contain materials owned by others. User is responsible for obtaining permissions for use from third parties as needed.



JOHNS HOPKINS  
BLOOMBERG  
SCHOOL *of* PUBLIC HEALTH

## Lecture 4c: Practice Problem Solutions

---

John McGready  
Johns Hopkins University

## Practice: Paired t-test

1. Eight counties were selected from State A
  - Each of these counties was matched with a county from State B, based on factors:
    - ▶ Mean income
    - ▶ Percentage of residents living below the poverty level
    - ▶ Violent crime rate
    - ▶ Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) in 2006
    - ▶ Information on the infant mortality rate in 2007 was collected on each set of eight counties (IMR is measured in deaths per 10,000 live births)
    - ▶ A pre- and post-neonatal care program was implemented in State B at the beginning of 2007

## Practice: Paired t-test

1. This data is being used to compare the IMR rates in States A and B in 1997
  - This comparison will be used as part of the evaluation of the neonatal care program in State B, regarding its effectiveness on reducing infant mortality

## Practice: Paired t-test

1. What is the appropriate method for testing whether the mean IMR is the same for both states in 2007?
  - a) Estimate a 95% confidence for the true mean difference in IMR between the two state groupings

– We can use the formula  $\bar{x}_{diff} \pm t_{0.95,7} \times SE(\bar{x}_{diff})$

– i.e.,  $\bar{x}_{diff} \pm 2.37 \times \frac{s_{diff}}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

– With this data the resulting 95% CI is given by

$$6.1 \pm 2.37 \times \frac{14.5}{\sqrt{8}} \approx 6.1 \pm 12.2 \rightarrow (-6.1, 18.3)$$

## Practice: Paired t-test

- b) State your null and alternative hypotheses for the corresponding hypothesis test
- $H_0: \mu_{\text{diff}} = 0$
  - $H_a: \mu_{\text{diff}} \neq 0$
  - Where  $\mu_{\text{diff}}$  represents the difference in average IMR rates between all possible pairings of counties from states A and B

## Practice: Paired t-test

- c) Report a p-value for the hypothesis test
- “By hand”: the distance measure,

- $$t = \frac{\bar{x}_{diff} - \mu_o}{\hat{SE}(\bar{x}_{diff})} = \frac{6.1 - 0}{14.5/\sqrt{8}} = 1.19.$$

- Our sample result is 1.19 standard errors above 0. How likely/unlikely? (you’ll need to consult a t-table with seven degrees of freedom)

# Practice: Paired t-test

- c) Report a p-value for the hypothesis test
- Better approach, use Stata!
  - Gives p-value of .27

```
. ttesti 8 6.1 14.5 0
```

```
One-sample t test
```

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]
x	8	6.1	5.126524	14.5	-6.022303 18.2223

```
mean = mean(x) t = 1.1899
Ho: mean = 0 degrees of freedom = 7
```

```
Ha: mean < 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.8636
```

```
Ha: mean != 0
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.2729
```

```
Ha: mean > 0
Pr(T > t) = 0.1364
```



## Practice: Paired t-test

- d) Do the results from the 95% confidence interval and the p-value agree in terms of the null hypothesis (using  $\alpha = .05$  for the hypothesis test)
- Yes. The 95% for the true mean difference includes 0, and the p-value for testing the null that this true difference is 0 is .27, which would not be rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis with an  $\alpha = .05$  rejection level

# Practice: Paired t-test

- e) What would your results be for A - D if you had 32 county pairs, and the mean change and standard deviation of the differences were the same ?
- You could do this by hand but for the sake of brevity here is Stata output:

```
. ttesti 32 6.1 14.5 0
```

```
One-sample t test
```

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]
x	32	6.1	2.563262	14.5	.8721925 11.32781

```
mean = mean(x) t = 2.3798
Ho: mean = 0 degrees of freedom = 31
```

```
Ha: mean < 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.9882
```

```
Ha: mean != 0
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0237
```

```
Ha: mean > 0
Pr(T > t) = 0.0118
```

## Practice: Paired t-test

2. What is the role of the  $\alpha$ -level in hypothesis testing?
  - The  $\alpha$ -level is the cutoff for calling a p-value “statistically significant” or not. It is the threshold set by the researcher to determine whether a result is consistent with the null (“likely” when the null is true) or inconsistent with the null (“unlikely” when the null is true). This value does not depend on any data and can be set at any time in the research process. The “industry standard” is .05 (5%).

## Practice: Paired t-test

3. What is the role of the p-value in hypothesis testing?
  - The p-value provides a measure of how likely (/unlikely) a sample result and other results less likely) under an assumed truth, the null hypothesis. This p-value can be compared to a preset rejection cutoff ( $\alpha$ -level) in order to make a decision to either reject or fail to reject this assumed null hypothesis as a possibility for the truth.

## Practice: Paired t-test

4. Seventy individuals were enrolled in a dietary counseling program intended to promote healthier eating. Each subject had his/her sodium levels measured on the day of enrollment and after two weeks of counseling. The results of these measurements were as follows:

$$\bar{x}_{pre} = 17.7 \text{ mEq}/8\text{hr}$$

$$\bar{x}_{post} = 16.5 \text{ mEq}/8\text{hr}$$

$$s_{difference} = 12.2 \text{ mEq}/8\text{hr}$$

- Was the change in average sodium excretion statistically significant at the .05 level? Justify your answer numerically.

## Practice: Paired t-test

5. First, you need to compute sample mean difference

$$\bar{x}_{post} - \bar{x}_{pre} = 16.5 - 17.7 = -1.2 \text{ mEq}/8\text{hr}$$

- Now we have everything we need to do the computations. I'll show the results in Stata, see if you can verify by hand.

# Practice: Paired t-test

## 5. Stata results

```
. ttesti 70 -1.2 12.2 0
```

One-sample t test

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x	70	-1.2	1.458179	12.2	-4.108987	1.708987

mean = mean(x) t = -0.8229  
Ho: mean = 0 degrees of freedom = 69

Ha: mean < 0  
Pr(T < t) = 0.2067

Ha: mean != 0  
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.4134

Ha: mean > 0  
Pr(T > t) = 0.7933

## Practice: Paired t-test

5. Suppose you had computed the mean difference in the opposite direction (pre - post).

$$\bar{x}_{pre} - \bar{x}_{post} = 17.7 - 16.5 = 1.2 \text{ mEq}/8\text{hr}$$

- How would this change your results?



# Practice: Paired t-test

## 5. Stata results, opposite direction of comparison

```
. ttesti 70 1.2 12.2 0
```

```
One-sample t test
```

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]
x	70	1.2	1.458179	12.2	-1.708987 4.108987

```
mean = mean(x) t = 0.8229
Ho: mean = 0 degrees of freedom = 69
```

```
Ha: mean < 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.7933
```

```
Ha: mean != 0
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.4134
```

```
Ha: mean > 0
Pr(T > t) = 0.2067
```