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Social Health Insurance

- Health insurance through payroll tax.
- Sometimes mandatory for designated population, but eligibility requires that the enrollee has paid the premium (contribution).
- Social insurance is not a right of every citizen. Social insurance programs are financially autonomous and have to maintain solvency.
National Health Insurance

- Government collects funds and also (generally) acts as a health care provider.

- Most NHI programs are mandatory, have universal coverage, financed from general government revenues.
Roles for Public and Private Health Insurance

Uninsurable risks for private sector:
- Non-random health care risks.
- Very low-cost services.
- Uninsurable individuals or groups.

Roles for private insurance:
- Coverage for those ineligible for public insurance.
- Supplemental coverage for services not covered by a universal public insurance program.
- Potential for competition in the context of universal coverage.
Private – Public Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private, risk related, Market</td>
<td>Private Provider Demand side provider financing mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Private Insurance</td>
<td>Public Provider Supply Side provider Financing Mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public, salary related, Command and Control</td>
<td>Population-based Social Insurance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Public Sector Purchasing from the Private Sector

- Private health care often considered of higher quality than public services.

- A demonstrated willingness to pay for perceived higher quality care. Examples – Thailand, Zimbabwe.

- Examples of contracting with private sector providers – Peru, El Salvador, Guatemala, Cambodia.

- Constraints – limited competition, public financing and institutional capacity – including human resources and information systems.
Private Insurance Companies as Purchasers

- The average contribution of formal private insurance to total health spending is just 3.3%.

- But in some countries it is as high as 43% – and in many low and middle-income countries private insurance coverage is growing.

- In low and middle-income countries, very limited evidence of impact on quality.
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## Characteristics of Managed Care Readiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Overall System Structure</th>
<th>Consumers</th>
<th>Providers</th>
<th>Clinical Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Centralized Government Control</td>
<td>Autonomy of Health Plan</td>
<td>Consumer Choice of Health Plan</td>
<td>Consumer Choice of Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>+/+++</td>
<td>++/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.K.</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Managed Care Companies in Latin America

- Multinational insurers are active in Argentina and Chile, and have begun in Brazil.

- Three ways that multinational corporations invest finance capital in Latin American:

  1. Purchasing companies that sell indemnity insurance or prepaid health plans;
  2. Joint-ventures with other companies;
  3. Agreements to manage social security and public sector institutions.
Managed Care Companies in Latin America

- The main multinational companies operating are Aetna, CIGNA, the EXXEL Group, the American International Group (AIG), International Medical Group (IMG), and Prudential.

- In Chile, Aetna controls a subsidiary, *Aetna Chile Seguros Generales*, and created an ISAPRE – *Aetna Salud* – in 1993, which has 60,000 insured subscribers (5th among the ISAPREs).
Managed Care Companies in Latin America

• In Argentina, Aetna operates through investments in the EXXEL Group and bought the largest and oldest prepaid insurance plan in Argentina, Asistencia Médica Social Argentina (AMSA).

• CIGNA operates in Chile, Brazil and Ecuador.
Private Insurance Internationally

- Only a few countries in the world have a national health system based primarily or heavily on multiple private insurers.
- Among high-income countries, a national system based on multiple private insurers exists only in the United States.
- Even in the U.S., public sources account for 45% of health expenditures nationwide.
Roles for Private Insurance Internationally

- Supplementary benefits for higher-income population groups.
- Administrating public insurance.
- Managed care in developed health systems.
- Increase investment in health; allow government to focus on lower-income groups.
- Other nations with a substantial private insurance market include Chile, South Africa, and the Philippines.
Private Insurers and Public Insurance

Currently, the most common administrators of health benefits include:

- National government
- Regional government
- Social insurance funds
- Private insurers or Managed Care Organizations (MCOs)
- Quasi-autonomous non-governmental management units (for example locally controlled “Primary Care Trusts” in the UK)
Exporting Managed Care

- In countries with a growing middle class, MCOs can play a complementary role to the public system – although others believe that such private plans can potentially undermine the public system.

- These complementary plans can be purchased either by employers or the individuals themselves.
Example – the Philippines

- Spends just 3.2 percent of its GDP on health.
- Has 35 private insurance companies.
- The primary driving force behind this process is the need for access to quality health services in the private sector.
- In principle, the government is able to reallocate its limited resources and strengthen its programs for the poor.
Managed Care in Developed Health Systems

- In high-income countries, policymakers have suggested that competing health plans could offer benefits to socialized models of care.
- Learn from the methods applied by private health insurance and MCOs.
- Can efficiency be improved through competition and introduction of internal markets?
Issues

- **Cream-skimming** – experience in Israel show that instead of focusing on improving clinical quality and efficiency, competing sickness funds emphasize in customer amenities and marketing.

- Since government funding is based on average cost, without risk adjustment funds will try to select members with the lowest risk, threatening the integrity of the system.
U.S. Companies Abroad – Advantages

- Processing
- Utilization management
- Care management
- Quality improvement
- Design and implementation of provider payment schemes.
U.S. Companies Abroad

- In the 1990’s managed care companies – including Aetna, CIGNA, United, and Blue Cross Blue Shield plans – formed joint ventures in Latin America, Asia, and Africa.
- Now most U.S. MCOs have abandoned their risk-bearing insurance operations overseas.
- Why? Complexity of adapting to local conditions, provider resistance, and anti-American or anti-managed care sentiment.
Case Study – UnitedHealth in South Africa

- In the 1990’s, United formed a joint venture with Southern Life, a South African insurance company, and Anglo-American Corporation, a large mining conglomerate.
- Faced several challenges including negative physician response and bad press.
- When the Anglo-American Company made an independent business decision to divest of its non-mining businesses, the joint venture was effectively abandoned.
Case Study – UnitedHealth (cont.)

Several factors contributed to the failure:

(1) Over-commitment of resources;

(2) Failure to recognize the importance of direct patient-pay pharmaceuticals as a source of revenue for physicians;

(3) Failure to gain the support of employers; and

(4) Lack of full understanding of the complex racial situation in South Africa.
Current Situation

- United and a few other U.S. MCOs – including Kaiser Permanente and CIGNA – maintain international operations.
- Consulting and administrative services – and partnerships for healthcare provision.
- Insurance products are limited mainly to U.S. expatriates and those working for U.S. companies abroad.
Chile –
Case Study
Chile

- Population – 16.1 million.
- GDP per capita (PPP) – $10,874.
- Health expenditures $489 per capita.
- Total Fertility Rate (TFR) – 2.0.
- Life expectancy at birth – 78.0.
- OOP as % of total health spending – 23.7%.

Source: 2006 World Development Indicators
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## Chile – Health Sector Organization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Sector</th>
<th>Public subsector</th>
<th>Private subsector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curative and preventive health insurance</strong></td>
<td>National Health Fund (FONASA): 10.3 million beneficiaries (67.5%)</td>
<td>18 private health insurance plans (ISAPRES): 2.8 million beneficiaries (18.5 % of population)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public health interventions</strong></td>
<td>National programs funded and managed by the Ministry of Health (i.e. free vaccination, TBC treatment, Hanta virus control, PNAC, etc.) Insurance must finance annual preventive physical examination for each beneficiary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providers</strong></td>
<td>28 Regional Health Services (SS) make up a complex network of 194 public hospitals, specialty centers, and (mostly municipal) primary care centers. 31.804 hospital beds</td>
<td>Network of providers, located mainly in major urban centers. 11.208 hospital beds (including mutual fund hospitals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stewardship and Regulation</strong></td>
<td>Ministry of Health (both subsectors) through Regional Health Services</td>
<td>Private health insurance regulatory agency (Superintendencia de Isapres)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ministry of Health (www.minsal.cl) and FONASA (www.fonasa.cl).
Health Financing

- Health expenditures $581 per capita.

- Health care system financed through the public National Health Fund (Fondo Nacional de Salud – FONASA), and a group of private insurers (Instituciones de Salud Previsional – ISAPREs).

- Employed individuals not otherwise covered are required to contribute 7% of their income to FONASA (up to a maximum of approximately US$135). or to purchase health insurance from an ISAPRE.
Coverage

- From 1981, possible to opt out FONASA and into ISAPREs.

- ISAPREs cover 20% of the population (from 2% in 1983) and FONASA 67%.

- There are currently 17 ISAPREs, covering 20% of the population (from 2% in 1983), vs. 67% for FONASA.
Coverage (cont.)

- The ISAPREs, by law, set premiums at community rates – by age, sex and family size.

- Other private insurance companies offer differentiated plans that vary according to the premium paid and the health risk of the insured family.

- FONASA’s rates are tied only to income. People can buy health insurance simply by paying 7% of their income, independent of their age, number of beneficiaries, or health status.
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Coverage (cont.)

- Wealthier Chileans went to ISAPREs – example of adverse selection.

- 9.0% of FONASA's risk pool is over 65 years of age, compared to only 2.2% of the ISAPREs' beneficiaries.

- The ISAPREs' beneficiaries have a mean monthly income of $554 (1998 estimate) while the majority of FONASA beneficiaries have a mean monthly income of less than $154.
FONASA’s Benefit Package

- Beneficiaries have access to a network of primary care centers that are mostly managed by municipal governments.

- The primary health care centers must deliver a predefined package of health services, the Primary Care Program
# ISAPRE’s Risk Rating Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Insured</th>
<th>Dependants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 - 11</td>
<td>2,40</td>
<td>2,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 - 23</td>
<td>2,40</td>
<td>2,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 - 17</td>
<td>1,10</td>
<td>2,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 24</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>3,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 39</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>4,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>1,60</td>
<td>3,80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>2,10</td>
<td>3,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 64</td>
<td>4,00</td>
<td>4,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 69</td>
<td>5,10</td>
<td>5,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 - más</td>
<td>5,70</td>
<td>5,50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Ministry of Health (www.minsal.cl) and FONASA (www.fonasa.cl).
Criticisms

- This mixed system of insurance has been criticized principally because of an alleged negative effect on equity.

- One specific criticism is that permitting the rich to opt out of the public health system diminishes what some call the system’s “solidarity.”

- Public opinion surveys show that a majority think that access to good health services is not available to all Chileans.
Current Reform Efforts

- The Standard Guaranteed Benefit Package (SHP)

- Integrating two systems:
  - Resolving problems in the current public – private interaction.
  - Identifying sources and mechanisms for ensuring cross-subsidization.